<i>;</i>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/741,860	MOORE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Li B. Zhen	2194
All Participants:	rticipants: Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Phillip J. Articola</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Li B. Zhen</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 10 October 2007	Time: <u>2:37 pm.</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ App Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	licant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 35 USC 101, 35 USC 112 second paragraph		
Claims discussed: 1-11,14-18,19,23,24,25		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEI See Continuation Sheet	NERAL NATURE OF WHA	r was discussed:
Part III.	·	
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sumn 	The examiner will provide a te record of the substance	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
and not result in resolution of all issues. A blief suffill	ially by the examiner appear	no ni i ait ii above.
X. Z		
(Examine SPE signature) (Applic	ant/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner noted that the claims are allowed over the art of record. Claims 1, 19, 24 and 25 are drawn to non-statutory subject matter. In claims 2-11 and 14-18, the phrase "An interface" lacks antecedent basis. The phrase "A method" in claim 23 lacks antecedent basis and the acronym "XML" should be defined. Applicant authorized examiner to amend the claims to recite statutory subject matter, provider proper antecedent basis, and define the term XML.